

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/733,648

Applicant(s)

MICHAEL ANDREA

Examiner

Kent L. Bell

Art Unit

1661

All Participants:

(1) Kent L. Bell.

Status of Application:

(3) _____

(2) Attorney Patricia Olosky

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 10/16/06

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

THE CLAIM

Prior art documents discussed:

None - Response to Rule 1.135 Requirement

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant verified the instant plant was not publicly available more than one year before the filing of the instant U.S. Plant

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.Patent application. The previous public availability date March 1, 1998 is incorrect.KENT BELL
PRIMARY EXAMINERKent L. Bell

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature - if appropriate)